

demonstrate that the land is in an area where the National Broadband Network will not be provided by optical fibre.

26. The owner of the land must enter into agreements with the relevant authorities for the provision of water supply, drainage, sewerage facilities, electricity, and gas services to each lot shown on the endorsed plan in accordance with the authority's requirements and relevant legislation at the time.
27. All existing and proposed easements and sites for existing or required utility services and roads on the land must be set aside in the plan of subdivision submitted for certification in favour of the relevant authority for which the easement or site is to be created.
28. The plan of subdivision submitted for certification under the *Subdivision Act 1988* must be referred to the relevant authority in accordance with Section 8 of that Act.
29. Measures must be undertaken to minimise any loss of amenity to the neighbourhood from the development caused by dust, noise, the transport of materials to and from the land and the deposit of mud and debris on public roads, to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority.

PERMIT EXPIRY:

30. This permit will expire if one of the following circumstances applies:
 - a. The plan of subdivision is not certified within two years of the date of this permit.
 - b. The plan of subdivision is not registered at Land Registry within five years of the certification of the subdivision.

The Responsible Authority may extend the periods referred to if a request is made in writing before the permit expires or within three months afterwards.

Permit Notes:

- Future owners of the land must be made aware of the existence of this permit.
- If further information is required in relation to Melbourne Water's permit conditions shown above, please contact Melbourne Water on telephone 9679 7517, quoting Melbourne Water's reference 218746.

CARRIED

11. CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORTS:

Report No: Report Title:



* CX.1 MAYORAL VISIT TO JAPAN – SEPTEMBER 2013

Synopsis:

Councillors would be aware of the relationship the Macedon Ranges region has with the Tokai City region in Japan. Tokai is a 'prefecture' or suburb of Aichi

south of Nagoya City in the south-west of Japan. Tokai City is a major industrial city with a population of in excess of 100,000 people in an area of 43km².

The history of this relationship dates back to the 1970's when farm stay hosting programs commenced in this region. The relationship has developed through Tokai International Association which is a business focused group closely aligned to the City Council that fosters cultural and business relationships.

Whilst Council has no formal 'Sister City' relationship with Tokai, the cultural and economic benefits of the relationship are significant to this region and the opportunity to foster the relationship at a civic or governmental level has been seen as appropriate by previous Councils.

This report deals with a proposal for the Mayor and other interested Councillors to visit Tokai in September this year at the invitation of the Tokai City Council and Tokai International Association in recognition of the 20th anniversary of the formation of the Tokai International Association.

The longer term benefits of Council entering a formalised 'Sister City' relationship with Tokai are proposed to be presented to Council post this visit.

Officer Recommendation:

That Council:

1. **Endorse Mayor Roger Jukes' and participating Councillors' visit to Tokai City for the 29 September 2013 anniversary celebrations of the formation of the Tokai International Association;**
2. **Approve travel and associated expenses to a limit of \$2,500 for Mayor Roger Jukes; and**
3. **Note that any other Councilors participating in the visit will bear their own costs associated with their travel.**

It was moved by Cr Letchford seconded by Cr McLaughlin

That Council:

1. **Endorse Mayor Roger Jukes' and participating Councillors' visit to Tokai City for the 29 September 2013 anniversary celebrations of the formation of the Tokai International Association;**
2. **Approve expenses to a limit of \$1,500 ; and**
3. **Note that any other Councilors participating in the visit will bear their own costs associated with their travel.**

The motion was put and carried on the casting vote of the Mayor

Cr Anderson requested a division.

For – Cr's McLaughlin, Letchford, Connor and Jukes X 2 (5)

→ **Against – Cr’s Piper, Morabito, Mowatt and Anderson (4)**

CARRIED

Report No: Report Title:

CX.2 COUNCILLOR GRANTS – CONSIDERATION OF GRANT APPLICATIONS

Synopsis:

Council, at its March 2013 Ordinary Council Meeting, endorsed the guidelines which govern the Councillor Grants Scheme.

Not-for-profit community groups can apply for small financial donations via this scheme. Applications are evaluated and presented to Council as they are received.

Councillor grants are offered to assist projects/initiatives that are unlikely to be funded through existing funding schemes. Applications can be made at any time of year¹ and are generally processed within 3–4 weeks.

Officer Recommendation:

That Council endorse the following application for funding:

- **Masonic Lodge, Romsey. \$500 for a community Christmas fun day with free admission and food/drink for children.**

It was moved by Cr Letchford seconded by Cr McLaughlin that the Officer Recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC

Name : Randall Bick P.O. Box 273 Riddells Creek

Question : Without prejudice I would like to mention MRSC has contracted a consultant to draft a future Riddells Creek Structural Plan. The draft indicates that Riddells Creek is going to be the third largest town in MRSC. This draft has inaccurate information about the south of the railway line. The south has town water, recycled water, a site that is attached to the sewage system, and the gas supply is a simple directional bore under the railway line. There will be minimal impact on history and character of the town. The draft does not consider areas for future sites such as secondary school or other community facilities for the predicted population growth. Why has the MRSC misinformed the consultants indirectly or directly causing an inaccurate draft to be created.

The Chief Executive Officer advised that there was no intention to mislead the community. Council Officers and the consultants have used their best endeavours to consider all aspects of the township development and put forward a draft for public consideration and feedback. We welcome these comments and will consider them with all other submissions.

Name : John Dixon, 91 Couangalt Road, Gisborne South